

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,546	12/09/2003	Alexander B. Morgan	62227A	4549
109	7590 12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
	CHEMICAL COMPANY	TRAN, THAO T		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION, P. O. BOX 1967 MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967			ADTIDUT	DAREN MUADER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>-</u>		· /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/731,546	MORGAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thao T. Tran	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS INSTRUCTION OF A SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tince will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Se	eptember 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.3-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/731,546 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the Amendment's filed on 9/21/2006.

2. Claims 1 and 3-18 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1 and 11 have been amended. Claims 19-20 have been cancelled, while their limitations have been incorporated into claims 1 and 11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1 and 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asai et al. (US Pat. 4,639,379) in view of Ishihata et al. (US Pat. 6,362,269).

From a prior Office action:

In regards to claims 1, 3-4, and 8-15, Asai teaches an article, comprising a polymeric substrate containing a flame retardant; wherein the surface of the substrate is subjected to a plasma treatment to form a plasma-polymerized surface film containing an organosilicon compound. The polymeric substrate made of polycarbonates, styrene-acrylonitrile-butadiene copolymer, or a blend thereof (see col. 2, ln. 18-64; col. 3, ln. 3).

Asai does not teach a specific amount of the flame retardant or a specific type of flame retardant.

Ishihata discloses a resin composition comprising polycarbonate and ABS (see abstract; col. 11, ln. 1-7). The resin composition further comprises additives, such as flame retardants (see

col. 21, ln. 8). The flame retardants include triphenyl phosphate and resorcinol bis(dixylenyl phosphate) (see col. 24, ln. 8-11).

Ishihata further teaches the flame retardant present in an amount of 0.5-15% or 0.01-2% based on 100% of the resin component. The amount of the flame retardant used differs depending upon the desired degree of flame retardancy (see col. 26, ln. 8-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, that the amount of the flame retardant would have been adjusted in order to obtain the desired degree of flame retardancy. It has been known within the skill in the art that too much of a flame retardant would have adversely affected the physical properties of the resin composition, while too little of a flame retardant would not have enhanced the flame retardancy of the resin composition.

With respect to the use of a phosphate flame retardant, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used the flame retardant of triphenyl phosphate or resorcinol bis(dixylenyl phosphate), as taught by Ishihata, in the resin composition of Asai, for the purpose of enhancing the degree of flame retardancy, while maintaining the physical and chemical properties of the resin composition.

With respect to the flammability test, since the Asai combination teaches the same chemical composition of the polymeric composite, the polymeric composite of the combined references would inherently have the same properties such as flammability test.

In regards to claims 5-7 and 17-18, Asai further teaches the substrate is subjected to a surface pretreatment by plasma in the presence of aniline (nitrogen-containing) or nitrogen to form a crosslinked layer (see col. 7, ln. 21-26; col. 10, ln. 6-7).

Art Unit: 1711

In regards to claim 16, the Asai combination does not teach the use of the composite as an enclosure for an electronic device as recited in the instant claim. However, it has been known within the skill in the art that laminates comprising a thermoplastic resin substrate with an abrasive and flame resistant coating have been used as covering of these devices. And it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used the composite taught by the Asai combination as a protective covering of these device, due to its high weatherability and abrasive and flame resistance.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicants' arguments that neither Asai nor Ishihata teaches the use of low amounts of flame retardant in combination with an organosilicate coating to achieve the claimed flammability test, it is noted that the primary reference of Asai teaches an article having a substrate containing a flame retardant and the surface of the substrate is treated to form a plasma-polymerized surface film containing an organosilicon compound. In Ishihata, a resin composition is taught containing the claimed flame retardants in an amount of 0.5-15% or 0.01-2% based on 100% of the resin composition. Note that the polymer in the substrate of Asai is polycarbonate or ABS, which are the same as the resin of Ishihata. Thus, Ishihata is used to illustrate that the claimed flame retardants present in the presently recited amounts have been taught in the prior art. And the reference of Ishihata is used to remedy Asai.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,546 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,546 Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thao T. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711

tt

December 8, 2006